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## Modeling Biological Systems with Boolean Networks

Boolean Networks (BN) are:

- qualitative formalism, well-suited for biological systems
- built from experimental data and knowledge from literature
- the automatic synthesis of BNs from biological data and knowledge is still a challenge

from Novak et al. 2001


## Boolean Networks - Generalities
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$$
\mathscr{B}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
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f_{\mathrm{B}}: b_{t+1}=b_{t} \wedge \neg c_{t} \\
f_{\mathrm{C}}: c_{t+1}=\neg c_{t}
\end{array}\right.
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## Boolean Networks - Their Structure and Dynamics
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## Boolean Networks - Their Structure and Dynamics



Interaction Graph (IG)
nodes: components of the BN
edges: influences + polarity
$\mathscr{B}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}f_{\mathrm{A}}: a_{t+1}=c_{t} \\ f_{\mathrm{B}}: b_{t+1}=b_{t} \wedge \neg c_{t} \\ f_{\mathrm{C}}: c_{t+1}=\neg c_{t}\end{array}\right.$


State Transition Graph (STG)
nodes: configurations of the BN (vector $\in \mathbb{B}^{n}$ )
e.g. 001, 010, 111, ..
edge from $c$ to $c^{\prime}$ if $c^{\prime}=f(c)$
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## Multivariate Time Series (TS)

Concentrations of the components over time

|  | $\mathbf{x}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| t | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | $\ldots$ |
| A | 0 | 3 | 7 | 13 | 20 | 30 | 49 | 61 | 100 | 63 | 36 | 25 | 2 | $\ldots$ |
| B | 100 | 86 | 64 | 57 | 54 | 53 | 51 | 49 | 45 | 37 | 33 | 28 | 22 | $\ldots$ |
| C | 0 | 27 | 36 | 42 | 60 | 75 | 54 | 44 | 38 | 48 | 60 | 72 | 88 | $\ldots$ |
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$=$ hard problem (combinatorial explosion)

|  | PKN | TS | assumptions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REVEAL | unsigned | binarized | time unit $=1$ |
| Best-Fit | unsigned | binarized | time unit $=1$ |
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Similar principles:

1. delimitation of the search space using the PKN as constraint
2. optimization of a criteria which measure the adequacy of the synthesized BNs with the TS (coverage)
Multiple optimal solutions are all returned
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Formulation the BN synthesis problem as a logic program with the Answer Set Programming (ASP) framework

Main parts of the logic program:

1. generates all the possible candidate functions
2. removes the ones that do not respect the PKN
3. acts like an exhaustive evaluation of all the candidates and returns the parsimonious candidates which explain best the binarized observations from the given time series

ASP solvers are designed to solve hard combinatorial satisfaction problem. They prune the search space efficiently (heuristic from SAT solvers).
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## Datasets for Evaluation

2 real datasets:

| System | PKN |  | TS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# nodes | \# edges | \# time steps | \# transitions |
| yeast (cell cycle) | 4 | 28 | 14 | 6 |
| A. thaliana (circadian clock) | 5 | 8 | 50 | 11 |

6 synthetic datasets:
Various complexity: from 3 to 10 nodes.
Various conditions: synch. or async. update scheme, with or without repetition, with or without noise

336 experiments at total including 42 with the ARN setting.
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| System | PKN |  | TS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# nodes | \# edges | \# time steps | \# transitions |
| yeast (cell cycle) | 4 | 28 | 14 | 6 |
| A. thaliana (circadian clock) | 5 | 8 | 50 | 11 |

6 synthetic datasets:
Various complexity: from 3 to 10 nodes.
Various conditions: synch. or async. update scheme, with or without repetition, with or without noise ("ARN")

336 experiments at total including 42 with the ARN setting.
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| REVEAL | Best-Fit $\quad$ caspo-TS $\quad$ ASKeD-BN |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | schematic representation of the coverage ratio <br> of BNs synthesized for a given (PKN + TS). <br> Points are jittered |  |
| 0 |  | 1 |

## Evaluation - Quality of the Synthesised BNs

| REVEAL | Best-Fit $\quad$ caspo-TS | ASKeD-BN |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | schematic representation of the coverage ratio <br> of BNs synthesized for a given (PKN + TS). <br> Points are jittered |  |
| 0 |  | 1 |
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## Evaluation - Comparison Runtime




336 experiments at total
\# fails
REVEAL: 240, Best-Fit: 64, caspo-TS: 20, ASKeD-BN: 0

## Conclusion

Contribution:

- ASKeD-BN: Automatic Synthesis of Boolean Networks constrained in their structure (PKN knowledge) and their dynamics (TS data)
- Approach free of strong / restraining assumptions
- Formulation as a logic program (Answer-Set Programming)
- ASKeD-BN gives good results

All data + code available at:
https://gitlab.inria.fr/avaginay/OLA2021
Work in progress:

- Apply ASKeD-BN on PKN and TS directly extracted from existing biological models (ODE-like)


## The end. Any question?

Automatic Synthesis of Boolean Networks from Biological Knowledge and Data Athénaïs Vaginay, Taha Boukhobza, and Malika Smaïl-Tabbone International Conference on Optimization and Learning

## ola 2021

21-23 June 2021, Catania, Italia
https://ola2021.sciencesconf.org/data/pages/book_ola2021_en.pdf

Annexe

## Evaluation on Real Datasets

yeast
4 components, 7 transitions


- REVEAL fails
- Best-Fit lacks consistency
- caspo-TS and ASKeD-BN find good BNs
- caspo-TS returns more BNs, some of them with poor coverage
$\rightarrow$ ASKeD-BN returns a small number of BN, with good coverage and low variance $\checkmark$


## Synthetic Data - Complexity

| system | \# node | \# edges | $\#$hyperedges <br> (caspo-TS) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| raf | 3 | 8 | 17 |
| randomnet_n7k3 | 7 | 35 | 125 |
| xiao_wnt5a | 7 | 12 | 19 |
| arellano_rootstem | 9 | 18 | 60 |
| davidich_yeast | 10 | 27 | 117 |
| faure_cellcycle | 10 | 35 | 194 |

## Synthetic Data - Comparison of the \# of BN Returned

336 experiments at total including 42 with the ARN* setting.


- REVEAL often fails
- REVEAL and Best-Fit return a lots of BNs which are not respecting the PKN
- caspo-TS returns in average between 5 and 7 times more BNs than ASKeD-BN (depending on the setting)
*: ARN $=$ Asyn. update scheme, with repetition and noise


## Synthetic data, ARN setting - Quality of the BNs



## Evaluation - Comparison time and RAM

|  | yest |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| method | running time (s) | cputime (s) | max_rss (MB) |
| REVEAL | 1.0095 | 0.55 | 72.97 |
| Best-Fit | 1.4069 | 1.10 | 92.77 |
| caspo-TS | 24.6545 | 12.91 | 183.08 |
| ASKeD-BN | 5.4209 | 4.90 | 186.80 |

A. thaliana

| method | running time (s) | cputime (s) | max_rss (MB) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| caspo-TS | 7.0394 | 1.85 | 139.93 |
| ASKeD-BN | 8.5820 | 8.19 | 163.38 |

observed in general:

- ASKeD-BN faster in general, but does not scale
- caspo-TS is using less RAM


## ASKeD-BN: Hard Constraints

"A activates C"
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"C activates A"
"C interacts with B"
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## ASKeD-BN: Hard Constraints
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For A:
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For C:
6 choices:
$C:=\neg C$
$C:=A$
..
C : = 0
$C:=1$
but not:
$C:=A \wedge B$

ASKeD-BN: Soft constraints - Example 1

| $010 \rightarrow 011+100 \rightarrow 001$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| t | 1 | 2 | 34 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |  |  |  | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |
| A | 0 | 3 | 713 | 20 | 30 | 49 | 61 | 100 | 63 | 362 | 252 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 |  |
| B | 100 |  | 6457 | 54 | 53 | 51 | 49 | 45 | 37 |  | 2822 |  | 14 | 12 | 9 | 5 | 2 | 0 |
| C | 0 |  | 3642 | 60 | 75 | 54 | 44 | 38 |  | 607 | 7288 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\mathscr{U}$ set of unexplained timesteps
Mean Absolute Error $\mathrm{MAE}_{\mathrm{X}}=\frac{\sum_{t^{\prime} \in \mathscr{U}}\left|\theta_{\mathrm{X}}-x_{t^{\prime}}\right|}{T}$

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{t+1}=c_{t} & \checkmark & a_{t+1}=0 \\
\emptyset & & \{8\} \\
0 & \checkmark & 0.55
\end{array}
$$

## ASKeD-BN: Soft constraints - Example 2


$\mathscr{U}$ set of unexplained timesteps
Mean Absolute Error $\mathrm{MAE}_{\mathrm{X}}=\frac{\sum_{t^{\prime} \in \mathscr{U}}\left|\theta_{\mathrm{X}}-x_{t^{\prime}}\right|}{T}$


## How does the PKN help reducing the search space?

Without information

$2^{2^{3}}=256$ candidates for each components
$\rightarrow 256 \times 256 \times 256=16777216$ candidate BNs

## How does the PKN help reducing the search space?

## With PKN

directions only (REVEAL \& Best-Fit)

$\rightarrow 4 \times 16 \times 16=1024$
candidate BNs
direction + signs
(caspo-TS \& ASKeD-BN)


|  | A | B | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| all | 3 | 16 | 6 |
| monotonous | 3 | 14 | 6 |

$\rightarrow 3 \times 16 \times 6=288$
candidate BNs
including 252 locally partial-monotonous.

